

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/814,223	04/01/2004	Heung-Jae Im	P69622US0	5403		
22429 LOWE HALIP	7590 08/06/200 TMAN HAM & BERN		EXAM	IINER		
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD			BAYARD, E	BAYARD, EMMANUEL		
SUITE 300 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	.,		2611			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/06/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/814,223	IM, HEUNG-JAE		
	A 111 11		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Emmanuel Bayard	2611		

		Ellillalluei bayalu	2011	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sistins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 and the provision of 37 CFR 1.13 proriod for roply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to roply which he set or extended period for reply will, by statute, oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing; dy plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be If apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this o NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ap	<u>ril 2004</u> .		
		action is non-final.		
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowand			merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	k parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 🔲	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			_
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	-	ved in this National	Stage
	application from the International Bureau			
18	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	n the certified copies not recei	vea.	
Attachment	44-5			

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) 🔲	Interv	ew	Su	nn	nary	(P	ГО-41	3)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/814,223

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

 Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, applicant is suggested to replace "the" before method with ---a---. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claim 21 recites the limitation "the received data" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 21 recites the limitation "the reverse link" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claims 22-26 are also rejected because they depend on a base rejected claim..

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Lonai, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,223

Art Unit: 2611

1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3,73(b).

2. Claims 1-28 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 10/814,286. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the current application encompass the claims in the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 1-28 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of copending Application No. 10/814,285. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the current application encompass the claims in the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is 571 272 Application/Control Number: 10/814,223

Art Unit: 2611

3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:Am-4:30PM) Alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571 272 3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

8/3/2008

Emmanuel Bayard Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611

/Emmanuel Bayard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611